

United States Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/739,739	12/20/2000	Masakazu Muroyama	SON-1968	4967
7590 11/07/2003			EXAMINER	
	HMAN & GRAUER, P.	KEANEY, ELIZ.	ABETH MARIE	
Washington, D	t, NW, Suite 501 C 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NA	

Advisory Action

706 07(f)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/739,739		MUROYAMA ET AL.		
Examin r		Art Unit		
	Elizabeth Gemmell	2882		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
- Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any examend patient term disubstrent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying th issues for appeal; and/or
(d) \(\square\) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. \(\) The a) \(\) affidavit, b) \(\) exhibit, or c) \(\) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\) See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No.
Other:
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues that the previous office action contains errors in correlating the limitations to the figure part number (page 22, lines 19-21). The examiner respectfully disagrees that errors in correlation of part numbers are present in the office action. However, the applicant is reminded that the specific reference to figures and part numbers are merely a guide to the reference and Jones does disclose all the limitations found in the instant claims including a carbon film selective growth region. Accordingly, this argument is not persuasive. Finally, the applicant ther asserts that Jones does not teach a carbon growth region, similar to the one disclosed in applicant's specification. Although applicant indeed defines a carbon growth region has known by the applicant, the term "carbon growth region is an air recognized term and has a broader scope than is defined by the applicant's disclosure and must be read in its broadest sense by the examiner. Accordingly, Jones does indeed teach a carbon growth region and therefore this argument is found not to be persuasive.